

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/632,760		08/01/2003	Jeffrey Thramann	49272.830001.US3 5040	
26582	7590	08/25/2005		EXAMINER	
HOLLANI		•	STOKES, CANDICE CAPRI		
555 17TH STREET, SUITE 3200 DENVER, CO 80201				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				3732	

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<b>&gt;</b>				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/632,760	THRAMANN ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>				
		Candice C. Stokes	3732					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05/0</u> .	4/05						
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□								
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			١				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			<i>j</i> .				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received in Applicate In the state of the state	tion No red in this National Stage					
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notice 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [ 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/632,760

Art Unit: 3732

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1) Claims 30-41 and 50-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terrizzi et al (USPN 5,407,312) in view of Lyon (USPN 2,409,638). Terrizzi et al disclose a back out prevention device comprising a screw 10 with head 12 and shank 14 and a bonding material. Lyon teaches bushing 5. Screw 10 in combination with bushing 5 is capable of being threaded into a vertebral body. "More particularly, in prior art efforts to optimize the relationship of a fastener relative to a medium intended to be fastened, thereby, it is typical to provide, either into a recess of such medium or by physical adhesion onto the shank or head of the fastener itself, one or more types of solid or semi-solid phase pressure responsive function facilitating materials such as bonding agents, sealing agents such as caulking compounds, friction reduction agents such as lubricants, resilience-providing agents and combinations thereof' (col. 1, lines 15-24). Further Terrizzi et al discloses "concentric channel 22 may simply be filled with a solidified caulking compound which, responsive to the application of pressure thereupon during the process of tightening head 12 into the medium to be fastened, an effective change of state, from solid to that of a putty-or gel-like state will occur, thereby depositing an effective quantity of sealing agent to the fastener-medium interface" (col. 2, lines 45-52). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 3732

mechanical bond.

made to incorporate the bushing as taught by Lyon into the screw and sealing agent disclosed by Terrizzi et al in order to more securely lock the screw to the plate and prevent the screw from backing out once fastened. As to Claims 50-55, the bond that is formed is mechanical, frictional,

and a chemical bond; chemically because it reacts to heat and pressure, providing a frictional and

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 21-23 and 25-29 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 05/04/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant asserts "amended claim 30 is patentably distinct from Terrizzi because amended claim 30 provides a bonding agent on the screw and the bushing. Lyon does not cure the defect of Terrizzi. Lyon is silent regarding agents, and the Examiner simply uses Lyon to disclose that screws can be used with bushings. Moreover, neither reference discloses locating the agent in a location determined prior to threading. To the extent the Examiner believes Terrizzi discloses a predetermined location (which would be either the top or the entire shank), Terrizzi is still silent regarding locating an agent on the bushing prior to threading the screw. Thus, it is respectfully submitted that amended claim 30 is patentably distinct from Terrizzi and Lyon either alone or in any reasonable combination thereof' (see top of pg. 8). The Examiner submits that the amended claim 30 merely provides that the bonding agent is applied to the screw prior to threading. Although Terrizzi is silent to this point it is inherently applied prior to threading since Terrizzi

Art Unit: 3732

Terrizzi further teaches that the bonding agent is "responsive to the application pressure thereupon during the process of tightening the head 12" the bonding agent becomes solidified (col.3, lines 46-48). Further, Terrizzi also teaches a bushing or washer may be provided.

Therefore, claims 30-41 remain rejected. Claims 50-55 are newly rejected.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Candice C. Stokes whose telephone number is (571) 272-4714. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,760

Art Unit: 3732

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Candice C. Stokes

Cary €. O'Connor Primary Examiner